December 2		
<u> </u>		
·.	·	
F. C. Nox 8043 S. W. Station Washington, D.C.	<u> </u>	
Re: Contract	tenter dated Dec. 1, 1965	
Dear Sar:		
authorization to perfo	As I indicated in conversation	
the proposed activity.	ber 9, 1965, I will not be undertaking	
	Very neuty yours,	
•		

wec John R.

Declass Review by NGA.

	$\epsilon_{ij}$	
·	October 16, 1965	
L		
	Re: Plastic Container for Maps and Catalogues	
	As I promised in our conversation on September 10, I checked around Los Angeles for prices on molding a quantity of containers similar to your Reed Plastics green polyethylene case. I neglected to ask what quantity you wanted and quantity it seems is the determining factor. I estimated you wanted 1,000 to 2,000 parts and it turns out to be impossible to get them for anywhere near 43¢ each. On such a small quantity the price seems to run from \$2.00 each, up. If you are interested in a lot of 10,000 to 12,000, let me know. I think the price of a 10,000 piece lot might be as low as 65¢ each, plus shipping costs.	
	Since shipping and storage would be a problem with your straight sided box, I put a $k''$ taper on the sides as shown on the enclosed drawing. A $k''$ taper will not affect stability and will parmit nesting at 1 box per inch.	·
	I checked into blow melding, vacuum molding, sheet forming, and injection molding. I will also check on the possibility of a fiberglass/resin molding. Injection molding is by far the best product and the cheapest per piece part, but a mold would cost about	S
	Please let me know about your quantity requirements.	
	The same of the sa	
	Very truly yours,	_
	1	S

Approved For Release 2005/06/23 : CIA-RDP78B04770A002900010009-2

John R.

cipy 2013

October 4, 1965

STAT

Fost Office Box 3043 Southwest Station Washington, D. C.

20024

Subject: Proposal for increase in scope of Contract No.

Dear Sir:

In recent discussions with your technical staff, a need was evidenced for increased support of your technical activity. Certain broad areas were being considered for which you may solicit support. In line with this thinking, we suggest for your consideration an increase in scope of our present activity of providing technical services for in house back up. We propose that additional studies and test and experimental activity be undertaken which will provide increased support in the projection viewing and measuring field. The proposed additional work will be directed to:

- a.) Devising test procedures and performance criteria and conducting tests on existing or newly delivered customer equipment.
- b.) Examining the Sessibility of solutions for deficiencies in present and/or newly delivered equipment.
- of enhancing the technological feasibility of enhancing the capabilities of photo interpreter viewing and mensuration equipment.

To this end, we propose that Task III "Analysis and Test of Viewing and Mensuration Equipment" be added to the subject contract.

STAT

October 4, 1965

Enclosure (a) describes the proposed level of effort. Cleared technical and administrative personnel are available for immediate support of the proposed task and therefore rapid initiation of the work can be accomplished. It is suggested, if this proposal is implemented, full scale testing of complete equipments be conducted in your clean room facility maintained in West Los Angeles. The Contractor operating the facility has indicated he would be pleased to cooperate and to provide shop technician personnel in support of equipment testing.

To implement the level of effort set forth in enclosure (a), we propose that the contract funds be increased by \_\_\_\_\_\_ to provide 7 months support for the proposed Task for the period 1 December, 1965 through 30 June, 1966. No change is proposed in the terms and conditions of the present contract except for direct material, purchased parts and subcontracts. It is proposed that the contract be changed to provide 12% G&A and 7%% fee on direct material, purchased parts and subcontracts.

No change is proposed for other direct costs such as travel, shipping costs and computer rental, which are billed at net cost to this contractor.

It is anticipated that the specific emphasis and phasing of the proposed work would be directed by a Technical Representative of the Contracting Officer. A definitive and descriptive list of items of work under the proposed task will be maintained. Monthly progress reports, technical reports and test reports will be submitted as required. An initial proposed list of items is provided in enclosure (b).

you strong technical support in our particular area of competence. We believe that an important ingredient in the success of the proposed task is an objective unbiased perspective. We have in the past striven diligently, and we believe successfully, to maintain such a viewpoint and the task as proposed will permit continuation of such a viewpoint. Our long association with the technical field and our extensive knowledge of the equipment and equipment problems uniquely qualifies us to perform the work proposed.

Very truly yours,

STAT

STAT

STAT

## Enclosure (a) Proposed Level of Effort

- 1 Principal Associate 100 hrs/mo. Mechanical Engineer skilled in instrumentation development and the photo-reconnaissance equipment field.
- 1 Principal Associate 120 hrs/mo. Physicist skilled in instrumentation development and electronics.
- 1 Senior Associate 100 hrs/mo. Mechanical Engineer skilled in mathematical analysis and computer programming and usage.
- 1 Senior Associate 120 hrs/mo. Mechanical Engineer skilled in photo-mechanism design.
- 1 Senior Designer 120 hrs/mo. Instrument mechanism design and drafting.

STAT

Approved For Release 2005/06/2	/23 : CIA-RDP78B04770A002900010	009-2

Enclosure (b) Proposed Items of Work for Proposed
Task III. "Analysis and Test of Viewing and Mensuration
Equipment."

# Item 1. Analysis and Test of Existing Production Viewer

Analyze the design and test the operation of a viewer of a type currently in use to determine if economic medifications can improve reliability and performance.

## Item 2. Format Temperature

Make a heat belance analysis and test for control of film format temperature under high intensity illumination. Explore fessibility of increasing effective illumination intensity and decreasing format temperature.

#### Item 3. Film Focal Plane

Review present techniques for maintaining film focal plane accuracy and assess capability of new techniques for maintaining focus during scanning and slewing.

#### Item 4. Automatic Transport

Explore feasibility of automatic threading, liquid bath film cleaning, automatic frame location and automatic data block reading for projection viewers and measuring machines.

## Item 5. Structure Analysis and Vibration Control

Make analyses and tests of rigidity and resonances of structures and of vibration control and isolation of machines under consideration.

# Item 6. Work Stations

Review existing operator work station arrangements and participate in formulating a Human Factors program for improving the work stations.

# Item 7. Screen Illumination Standards

Review existing screen illumination levels, uniformity and resolution and participate in formulating a Human Factors program for establishing standards.

# Enclosure (b) Continued

### Item 8. Computer/Viewer Augmentation

Explore the feasibility of augmenting the role of the computer in relation to the projection viewer as an aid to the interpreter.

October 4, 1965

Dear John,

Attached is the estimated schedule of hours for the proposed Task III.

#### Approved For Release 2005/06/23 : CIA-RDP78B04770A002900010009-2

## ESTIMATED SCHEDULE OF HOURS

	1965	1966					
	Dec.	Jen.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	Мау	June
Principal Associate							•
Mechanical Engineer Photo-Recon. Equip and	60	80	100	100	100	100	120
Physicist electronics and instrument.	60	80	120	120	120	120	120
enior Associate							
Mechanical Engineer Mathematical Analysis and Computer Program- ming and Usage and	60	100	100	100	100	100	100
Mechanical Engineer Instrument and Photo mechanism design			80	120	120	120	120
enior Designer							
Instrument mechanism design and drafting	9 - 11 19	:	30	100	120	120	150
were not	180	260	480	540	560	560	610